

EX: Establish the relationship between Laspeyres's and Paache's price index number. when are the two equal ?

Solution:

We have, Laspeyres's price index number is given by $P_{01}^{La} = \frac{\sum P_1 q_0}{\sum P_0 q_0} \rightarrow ①$

and, Paache's price index is

$$P_{01}^{Pa} = \frac{\sum P_1 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_1} \rightarrow ②$$

Base year method, here base year quantities are takes as weights.

Given are current year method. Here, given year quantities are taken as weights.

To establish the relationship

between Laspeyres's and Paache's index number, let us suppose that the following first.

$$X_j = \text{Price relative} = \frac{P_1}{P_0}$$

$$Y_j = \text{quantity} = \frac{q_1}{q_0}$$

$$V_0 = \text{Value index no} = \frac{\sum P_1 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0}$$

$W_j = P_0 q_0$, be the weights of X_j and Y_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, n$)

r_{xy} = Correlation coefficient between (the price and quantity relatives)
X and Y.

σ_x = Weighted S.D. of price - relative x [Dispersion of

σ_y = " " quantity - " [more in price relative]

5

Now, we can get,

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{xy} \sigma_x \sigma_y = \text{Cov}(X, Y)$$

$$= \frac{\sum w_j x_j y_j}{\sum w_j} - \left(\frac{\sum w_j x_j}{\sum w_j} \right) \left(\frac{\sum w_j y_j}{\sum w_j} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sum P_1 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0} - \frac{\sum P_1 q_0}{\sum P_0 q_0} \cdot \frac{\sum P_0 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0}$$

$$= \frac{\sum P_1 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0} \left[1 - \frac{\sum P_1 q_0}{\sum P_0 q_0} \cdot \frac{\sum P_0 q_1}{\sum P_1 q_1} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{xy} \sigma_x \sigma_y = \sigma_{01} \left[1 - \frac{\sum P_1 q_0 / \sum P_0 q_0}{\sum P_1 q_1 / \sum P_0 q_1} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow r_{xy} \sigma_x \sigma_y = \sigma_{01} \left[1 - \frac{p_{01}^{da}}{p_{01}^{pa}} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r_{xy} \sigma_x \sigma_y}{\sigma_{01}} = 1 - \frac{p_{01}^{da}}{p_{01}^{pa}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{p_{01}^{da}}{p_{01}^{pa}} = 1 - \frac{r_{xy} \sigma_x \sigma_y}{\sigma_{01}} \rightarrow \textcircled{A}$$

Now from relation \textcircled{A} , we can infer three important relationships between Laspeyres's and Paascher's index number as follows -

Case. 1: If $r_{xy} > 0$, then from \textcircled{A} we get that R.H.S. is less than unity

$$\therefore \frac{p_{01}^{da}}{p_{01}^{pa}} < 1 \quad (\text{L.H.S. is also } < 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{01}^{da} < p_{01}^{pa}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\sum P_1 q_0}{\sum P_0 q_0} \cdot \frac{\sum P_0 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0}}{\frac{\sum P_1 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0}} = \frac{\sum P_1 q_0}{\sum P_0 q_0} \cdot \frac{\sum P_0 q_1}{\sum P_0 q_0} \cdot \frac{\sum P_0 q_0}{\sum P_1 q_1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n &= \sum w_j \\ \sum w_j x_j y_j &= \sum P_0 q_0 \cdot \frac{P_1}{P_0} \cdot \frac{q_1}{q_0} \\ &= \sum P_1 q_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum w_j x_j &= \sum P_1 q_0 \cdot \frac{P_1}{P_0} \\ &= \sum P_1 q_0 \\ &= \sum P_1 q_0 \\ \sum w_j y_j &= \sum P_0 q_0 \cdot \frac{q_1}{q_0} \\ &= \sum P_0 q_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X, Y) &= E\{[X - E(X)][Y - E(Y)]\} \\ &= E(XY) - E(X)E(Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i y_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum y_i \right) \\ &= \frac{\sum w_j x_j y_j}{\sum w_j} - \left(\frac{\sum w_j x_j}{\sum w_j} \right) \left(\frac{\sum w_j y_j}{\sum w_j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(6)

Thus, when correlation coefficient between the price relatives X and the quantity relatives Y is greater than zero i.e., positive then Laspeyres's index is less than Paasche's index number.

Case II: If $r_{xy} < 0$, then in (A), we get that R.H.S. is greater than unity

$$\therefore \frac{P_{01}^{LQ}}{P_{01}^{PA}} > 1 \quad (\text{L.H.S. is also } < 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{01}^{LQ} > P_{01}^{PA}$$

Thus, whenever correlation coefficient between X and Y is less than zero, then Laspeyres's index number is greater than Paasche's index number.

The most important thing to be noted here is that, in actual practice, under normal economic conditions, we have $-1 \leq r_{xy} \leq 0$ and consequently we will get,

$$P_{01}^{LQ} > P_{01}^{PA}$$

Thus, it is obvious that, under normal conditions Laspeyres's price index number shows upward bias (i.e., upward trend) while Paasche's index number shows a downward bias (i.e., downward trend) in the price changes.

(8)

Case III: If either $r_{xy} = 0$ or if $\sigma_x = 0$, (i.e., all price movements are same for all commodities) or if $\sigma_y = 0$ (i.e., all quantity movements are same for all commodities), then we get that R.H.S. of (A) is equal to unity.

$$\therefore \frac{P_{01}^{L_a}}{P_{01}^{P_a}} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{01}^{L_a} = P_{01}^{P_a}$$

Thus, if or when either $r_{xy} = 0$ or $\sigma_x = 0$ or $\sigma_y = 0$, then only Laspeyres and Paachers index numbers become equal. That is to say, when either

(i) Correlation coefficient between price relatives X and quantity relatives Y is zero.

or (ii) Weighted S.D. of price relatives of X is zero,

or (iii) Weighted S.D. of quantity relatives Y is zero,

then only it is possible that Laspeyres and Paachers index numbers are equal.