

Hence Proved.

PROPERTIES OF LSE :

The LSE have certain desirable properties which are satisfied under certain basic assumptions mentioned above.

The first desirable properties of LSE are BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator). Now, the important thing is that to prove that LSE as BLUE, we are to show the reality or validity of the three concepts.

① PROPERTY OF LINEARITY :

An estimate is said to be linear if it is a linear function of the sample observations. That is to say, if it is determined by a linear combination of the sample data. Thus, here, we are to prove that $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are linear functions of the observed sample value Y_e 's. This means that the least square estimates depend on

on the value of sample observations Y_t only, given the assumption of LSE mentioned above. That is, here, we are to prove that

$$\hat{\alpha} = f(Y_t) \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = f(Y_t)$$

Proof: We know that,

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum x_t y_t}{\sum x_t^2}$$

$$= \sum w_t Y_t$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n w_t Y_t$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n w_t Y_t$$

$$= w_1 Y_1 + w_2 Y_2 + \dots + w_n Y_n$$

Since w_t 's are fixed set of values by the hypothetical process of repeated sampling, hence the value of $\hat{\beta}$ depends on the values of Y_t 's..

$$\therefore \hat{\beta} = f(Y_t) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Hence, it is proved that $\hat{\beta}$ is a linear funⁿ of the sample observation Y_t .

Similarly, we can also show that

$$\hat{\alpha} = f(Y_t) \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$$

N.B.

To show that

$$\hat{\alpha} = f(Y_t)$$

Proof:

We know that

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta} \bar{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum Y_t - \sum w_t Y_t \bar{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \sum \left(\frac{1}{n} - \alpha_1 X \right) Y_t$$

Since by the assumption α and α_1 's are fixed constant from sample to sample, hence the value of $\hat{\alpha}$ depends only on the values of sample observations Y_t i.e., $\hat{\alpha}$ is a linear form of Y_t .

$$\therefore \hat{\alpha} = f(Y_t)$$

Hence proved.

② PROPERTY OF UNBIASNESS :

The bias of an estimate is defined as the difference between its expected value and the true parameters. Thus, the bias of $\hat{\beta} = E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta$

An estimate is unbiased if the bias is zero. i.e., if $E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$$

Similarly, $\hat{\alpha}$ will be said to be unbiased if

$$E(\hat{\alpha}) - \alpha = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha$$

Here, we are to show that

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta \text{ and}$$

$$E(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha$$

Proof: we have,

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum w_t Y_t$$

$$= \sum w_t (\alpha + \beta X_t + u_t)$$

$$= \alpha \sum w_t + \beta \sum w_t X_t + \sum w_t u_t$$

$$= \alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot 1 + \sum w_t u_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \beta + \sum w_t u_t \longrightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \because \sum w_t = 0 \text{ and} \\ \sum w_t X_t = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha} = \sum \left(\frac{1}{n} - w_t \bar{x} \right) Y_t$$

Since by the assumption \bar{x} and w_t 's are fixed constant from sample to sample, hence the value of $\hat{\alpha}$ depends only on the values of sample observations Y_t 's i.e., $\hat{\alpha}$ is a linear funⁿ of Y_t .

$$\therefore \hat{\alpha} = f(Y_t)$$

Hence proved.

② PROPERTY OF UNBIASNESS :

The bias of an estimate is defined as the difference between its expected value and the true parameters. Thus, the bias of $\hat{\beta} = E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta$

an estimate is unbiased if the bias is zero. i.e., if $E(\hat{\beta}) - \beta = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$$

Similarly, $\hat{\alpha}$ will be said to be unbiased if

$$E(\hat{\alpha}) - \alpha = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha$$

Here, we are to show that

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta \quad \text{and}$$

$$E(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha$$

Proof:

we have,

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum w_t Y_t$$

$$= \sum w_t (\alpha + \beta X_t + U_t)$$

$$= \alpha \sum w_t + \beta \sum w_t X_t + \sum w_t U_t$$

$$= \alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot 1 + \sum w_t U_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \beta + \sum w_t U_t \longrightarrow \textcircled{3}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \because \sum w_t = 0 \text{ and} \\ \sum w_t X_t = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

Now, taking expectation on both sides, we get,

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = E(\beta) + E(\sum w_t u_t)$$

$$= \beta + \sum w_t E(u_t)$$

$$= \beta$$

$$(\because E(u_t) = 0, \forall t)$$

$$\therefore E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta \longrightarrow (4)$$

Hence, it is proved that $\hat{\beta}$ is an unbiased estimate of β .

Similarly, we can also prove that $\hat{\alpha}$ is an unbiased estimate of α . i.e.,

$$E(\hat{\alpha}) = \alpha \longrightarrow (5)$$

(4) PROPERTY OF MINIMUM VARIANCE:

An estimate is the best if it has the smallest variance as compared to any other estimate obtained from any other (estimate obtained from) econometric method. i.e.,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) < \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}')$$

where, $\hat{\beta}$ is the estimate of β obtained by our econometric method (Not by OLS)

Now,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = E[\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})]^2$$

$$= E[\hat{\beta} - \beta]^2 \quad (\because E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta)$$

$$= E(\sum w_t u_t)^2, \quad [\text{From eqn } (3)]$$

$$= E\left(\sum_{t=1}^n w_t^2 u_t^2 + 2 \sum_{t \neq t'} w_t u_t w_{t'} u_{t'}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n w_t^2 E(u_t^2) + 2 \sum_{t \neq t'} w_t w_{t'} E(u_t u_{t'})$$

$$= \sum w_t^2 \sigma_u^2 + 0 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \dots E(u_t^2) = \sigma_u^2 \\ E(u_t u_{t'}) = 0, \forall t \neq t' \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma_u^2 \sum w_i^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma_u^2 \frac{1}{\sum w_i^2} \rightarrow \textcircled{6} \quad \left(\because \sum w_i^2 = \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2} \right)$$

is the OLS variance of $\hat{\beta}$ i.e., the OLS variance of the estimate of β .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum w_i u_i \right)^2 \\ &= (w_1 u_1 + w_2 u_2 + w_3 u_3 + \dots + w_n u_n) (w_1 u_1 + w_2 u_2 + \dots + w_n u_n) \\ &= w_1^2 u_1^2 + w_1 u_1 w_2 u_2 + w_1 u_1 w_3 u_3 + \dots + w_1 u_1 w_n u_n + w_2 u_2 w_1 u_1 + w_2^2 u_2^2 \\ & \quad + \dots + w_2 u_2 w_n u_n + w_n u_n w_1 u_1 + w_n u_n w_2 u_2 + \dots + w_n^2 u_n^2 \\ &= w_1^2 u_1^2 + w_2^2 u_2^2 + \dots + w_n^2 u_n^2 + 2w_1 u_1 w_2 u_2 + \dots + 2w_3 u_3 w_n u_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 u_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} w_i u_i w_j u_j \end{aligned}$$

Now, to find whether $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$ is minimum or not, we are to estimate β by other method (not by OLS)

Let $\hat{\beta}$ be the estimate of β obtained by other econometric method. Again, let us assume that the arbitrary estimate of β obtained by other econometric method be such that

$$\hat{\beta} = \sum c_t \gamma_t \rightarrow \textcircled{7}$$

where, $c_t = w_t + d_t$, w_t being the weights and d_t is an arbitrary set of weights.

$$\text{Now, } \hat{\beta} = \sum c_t (\alpha + \beta x_t + u_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \alpha \sum c_t + \beta \sum c_t x_t + \sum c_t u_t \rightarrow \textcircled{8}$$

The new estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is also assume to be an unbiased estimate of β i.e., $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$.

Now, taking expectation on both sides of (8), we get,

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = E(\alpha \sum c_t + \beta \sum c_t x_t + \sum c_t u_t) \rightarrow (9)$$

Now, in (9), $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ iff $\sum c_t = 0$ and $\sum c_t x_t = 1$.

$$\text{Since, } \sum c_t = 0 \Rightarrow \sum (w_t + d_t) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum w_t + \sum d_t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum w_t = 0 \text{ and } \sum d_t = 0$$

Thus, we have,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum c_t = 0 \\ \sum d_t = 0 \text{ and } \sum c_t x_t = 1 \\ \sum w_t = 0 \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow (10)$$

Therefore, from (8), we can have,

$$\hat{\beta} = \alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot 1 + \sum c_t u_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \beta + \sum c_t u_t \rightarrow (11)$$

Now,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = E\left[\hat{\beta} - E(\hat{\beta})\right]^2$$

$$= E\left[\hat{\beta} - \beta\right]^2, (\because E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta)$$

$$= E\left(\sum c_t u_t\right)^2, [\text{From eqn (11)}]$$

$$= E\left(\sum_{t=1}^n c_t^2 u_t^2 + 2 \sum_{t \neq t'} c_t u_t c_{t'} u_{t'}\right)$$

$$= \sum c_t^2 E(u_t^2) + 2 \sum_{t \neq t'} c_t c_{t'} E(u_t u_{t'})$$

$$= \sum c_t^2 \cdot \sigma_u^2 + 0$$

$$= \sigma_u^2 \sum c_t^2$$

$$= \sigma_u^2 \sum (w_t + d_t)^2$$

$$= \sigma_u^2 \left(\sum w_t^2 + 2 \sum w_t d_t + \sum d_t^2\right)$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \because E(u_t^2) = \sigma_u^2, \forall t \text{ and} \\ E(u_t u_{t'}) = 0 \forall t \neq t' \end{array} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma_u^2 (\sum w_i^2 + \sum d_i^2) \quad (\because \sum w_i d_i = 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma_u^2 \sum w_i^2 + \sigma_u^2 \sum d_i^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma_u^2 \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2} + \sigma_u^2 \sum d_i^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) + \sigma_u^2 \sum d_i^2 \rightarrow (12), \quad (\because \text{Var} \hat{\beta} = \sigma_u^2 \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2})$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) < \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) \rightarrow (13)$$

Since $\sigma_u^2 \sum d_i^2 > 0$, whatever the value of d_i may have - positive or negative.

$\hat{\beta}$ has minimum variance as compared to any other arbitrary estimate of β , namely $\hat{\beta}$. That is to say, $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$ is minimum.

Similarly, it can also be shown that

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}) < \text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}) \rightarrow (14)$$

where, $\hat{\alpha}$ is an arbitrary estimate of α obtained by other econometric method.

Hence, it is proved that the LSEs are best.

Therefore, from all the above three properties it is proved that the LSEs are BLUE.